June 11, 2012

RFP #12-011

JOHNSON STREET BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT

ADDENDUM NO. 1

This Addendum is issued under Section 3.10 of the RFP.

1. In Section 1.3 Definitions, delete the words:
   
   “‘Participation Security Letter of Credit’ has the meaning set out in
   Section 1.6;”

   without replacement.

2. In Section 1.5 Participation Agreement, add the following to the end:

   “Signed Participation Agreements must be delivered on or before
   June 15, 2012. Any Proponent who does not deliver its signed
   Participation Agreement in accordance with this Section 1.5 will
   be deemed to have discontinued its participation in this RFP and the
   City may invite another party to participate in this RFP as a
   Proponent.”.

3. Delete Section 1.6 Participation Security entirely without replacement.

4. Delete the last paragraph of Section 2.2 Indicative Design entirely and replace with the following:

   “The Indicative Design documents have not been prepared for the
   purposes of final pricing or construction, and it is the responsibility
   of each Proponent to verify and confirm the validity and accuracy
   of any quantities that may be included in the Indicative Design
   documents.”.

5. In Section 2.3(b) Indicative Pricing Submission, delete the words:

   “4:00 pm local time
   June 18, 2012;”

   and replace with the following:

   “12:00 pm local time
   June 25, 2012;”.
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6. In the last paragraph of Section 2.3 Indicative Pricing Submission, delete the words:

   “and draw upon the Performance Security Letter of Credit as provided under Section 1.6”

without replacement.

7. Delete the text of Section 6.3 Partial Compensation for Participation in Indicative Design Review entirely and replace with the following:

   “Within 60 days after the City enters into the Contract with the Preferred Proponent, the City will pay to each unsuccessful Proponent that submits a bona fide Proposal the lesser of (i) $50,000 (inclusive of any GST or HST payable) and (ii) the substantiated internal and third party costs reasonably incurred by the Proponent in undertaking a bona fide review of the Indicative Design for the purpose of identifying optimizations to the Indicative Design as requested under Section 2.4.”.

Yours Truly,

Keith Hennessey

Keith Hennessey,
Contact Person